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Problem - what we want to solve

- AABB is a popular bounding volume, especially, on BVH for ray tracing
- Simple representation ©
- Cheap intersection ©
- There are well-matured methods to build a high-quality BVH with a good quality ©

```
struct AABB
{
        vec3 min;
        vec3 max;
};
```




Problem - what we want to solve

- AABB is a popular bounding volume, especially, on BVH for ray tracing
- Simple representation ©
- Cheap intersection ©
- There are well-matured methods to build a high-quality BVH with a good quality ©
- AABB may not be able to tightly bound a geometry in some cases : $($
- Ray-BVH intersection can be slower due to traversal of too many nodes


Thin, tilted triangle


Sparse triangles

## How many false Positives Are there?

- A Toy Experiment

1. A triangle enclosed by an AABB
2. Shoot random rays toward the AABB
3. Count how many rays do not hit the triangle


False Positive Ratio $=\frac{\text { White Rays }}{\text { Total Rays }}$

## $\Rightarrow$ False-positive ratio can be over $70 \%$ !

## Alternative Bounding volumes?

There are alternative volumes

- Better culling ©


OBB


K-DOPs


Ellipsoid


Our goal

- Culls false positives more than AABB
- Small computational overhead for culling
- Small memory footprint
- Simple and Fast BVH construction


Convex Hull

## Voxel data structure

- It can fit densely to the primitive ©
- As long as the grid resolution is fine enough
- Simple representation
- 1 bit per cell to represent its occupancy
- Fast Intersection with Rays
- DDA ${ }^{1}$-like iterative method?
- We use LUT-based approach
- O(1)
- Only a few arithmetic operations


1. Digital Differential Analyzer

## Small voxels vs Ray

- Intersection with a bitwise AND
- Holger Gruen, "Block-Wise Linear Binary Grids for Fast Ray-Casting Operations" in GPU Pro 360
- A ray vs small grid test can be conservatively replaced by "bitwise AND"
- Ray mask can be precomputed as a look-up table ©, so we can make it O(1)


Ray Mask Build

- In general cases, it takes linear time complexity to build a voxel pattern from a ray
- DDA-like method. e.g. John Amanatides, Andrew Woo, "A Fast Voxel Traversal Algorithm for Ray Tracing"
- But we can use a look-up table approach - $\mathrm{O}(1)$ as an approximation ©
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Our Approach - in a nutshell

- Use voxels for one step more culling after the AABB intersection


Classical Traversal


Our Traversal

```
function traverse( root, ray, R, rayMasks)
    push (root);
    while True
        node \leftarrow \leftarrowpop ();
        if node is empty then break;
        if node is a leaf then
            Find an intersection ray and triangles in node;
            continue;
        end
        hits }\leftarrow\mathrm{ find intersections AABBs in node and ray;
        foreach hit i G hits do
            AABB,objectMask, ps \leftarrow get an AABB, an object mask, and intersections of hit i;
```



```
            If objectMask ^ rayMask is not zero then,
```



```
            push (child);
            end
        end
    end
end
```

Hierarchical Construction

- The best voxels
- Traverse all of the triangles below $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{*}}$
- It is expensive $)^{2}$


Hierarchical Construction

- Approximate the occupancy by voxels



Calculated mask from the children's voxels

Hierarchical Construction

- Approximate the occupancy by voxels
- don't have to traverse lower level of the data structure © ©



## Exact Occupancy vs Approximated Occupancy

- Similar result with the approximated occupancy with lower cost ©
- Trade-offs


Exact Occupancy


Approximated Occupancy

Exact Occupancy vs Approximated Occupancy

- Similar result with the approximated occupancy with lower cost ©
- Trade-offs
- How many levels we will go down


Rough Approximation


1 step more accurate, but need more nodes to visit

## Voxel Compression

- How much memory do we need for the voxels?

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \times 4 \times 4=64 \text { bits } & =8 \text { bytes } \\
6 \times 6 \times 6=216 \text { bits } & =27 \text { bytes }
\end{aligned}
$$

| 4 bytes | child 0 | child 1 | child 2 | child 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AABB 0 | AABB 1 | AABB 2 | AABB 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mask 0 | mask 1 | mask 2 | mask 3 |

## Voxel Compression

- Good news: The bit patterns are not just random
- There are some "frequently used mask patterns"

A BVH node
$\Rightarrow$ Look-up table approach


## Results

- We measured:
- Number of intersections to see culling efficiency
- Entire rendering performance


Bedroom ( 462.8 K tris )
San Miguel ( 9.9 M tris )


Classroom ( 606.1 K tris )


Classroom (7.3 M tris )


Ninja ( 1.3 M tris )


Hairball ( 2.8 M tris )


Victorian Trains ( 884.1 K tris )

## Results: Number of Intersection

Resolution = 4, Compressed Voxels

- Voxel resolutions
- $4 \times 4 \times 4,6 \times 6 \times 6$
- Object Mask
- Only 1 byte per AABB by the LUT-based compression
- Culling
- DDA-based exact culling for measuring the voxel culling capability
- From 9 to $38 \%$ of the intersections are reduced with R=4 ©
- From 12 to $46 \%$ of the intersections are reduced with $\mathrm{R}=6$ © ©
- Good reduction for thin and tilted geometries ©
- LUT-based compression for the voxel mask works very well ©


Curly Hair ( 12.1 M tris )


Straight Hair ( 7.3 M tris )

Resolution = 6, Compressed Voxels


The same Memory

Results: Entire performance
Resolution = 4, Ray Mask LUT

- Voxel resolutions
- $4 \times 4 \times 4,6 \times 6 \times 6$
- Object Mask

Naïvely keep 8 bytes, and 27 bytes respectively without compression

- Culling
- LUT-based approach
- Unfortunately, we observed some scenes get worse ©
- Hair scenes got performance improvements ©)

| Scene | Relative Rendering Time ( $R_{\text {ray }}=4$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bedroom | 103.6\% |
| San Miguel | 97.6\% |
| Ninja | 101.0\% |
| Bistro | 94.9\% |
| Classroom | 106.0\% |
| Hairball | 97.9\% |
| Curly Hair | 86.9\% |
| Straight Hair | 88.0\% |
| VictorianTrains | 104.3\% |

- $12 \%, 13 \%$ faster than the baseline with $\mathrm{R}=4$
- $13 \%, 14 \%$ faster than the baseline with $\mathrm{R}=6$

Resolution = 6, Ray Mask LUT


## Summary

- Culls false positives more than AABB
$\checkmark$ Voxel-based culling reduces the number of intersections very well
- Small computational overhead for culling
$\checkmark$ LUT-based fast intersection
- Small memory footprint
$\checkmark$ LUT-based compression significantly reduces memory size but keep culling efficiency
- Simple and Fast BVH construction
$\checkmark$ A simple occupancy approximation for efficient voxel builds in BVH
$\checkmark$ Trade-off control

Limitations and Future work

- It's still hard to see improvement with all scenes
- Dynamic scenes
- Animated geometries requires the voxel data update
- GPU measurement \& optimization
- The voxel compression algorithm is still sub-optimal

